

# Embedding Kozen-Tiuryn Logic into Residuated One-sorted Kleene Algebra With Tests<sup>1</sup>

I. Sedlár and J.J. Wannenburg

Institute of Computer Science of the Czech Academy of Sciences

LOGICA

September 2022



EUROPEAN UNION  
European Structural and Investment Funds  
Operational Programme Research,  
Development and Education



---

<sup>1</sup> This work was carried out within the project *Supporting the internationalization of the Institute of Computer Science of the Czech Academy of Sciences* (no. CZ.02.2.69/0.0/0.0/18\_053/0017594), funded by the Operational Programme Research, Development and Education of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic. The project is co-funded by the EU.

# Kleene algebra

## Definition

A **Kleene algebra** [Koz94] is a structure  $\mathcal{K} = (K, \vee, \cdot, *, 1, 0)$  such that

- $(K, \vee, \cdot, 1, 0)$  is an *idempotent semiring*, i.e.,
  - $(K, \cdot, 1)$  is a monoid,
  - $(K, \vee, 0)$  is an idempotent commutative monoid (hence, a join-semilattice),
  - $x(y \vee z) = xy \vee xz$ ,  $(y \vee z)x = yx \vee zx$ , and
  - $x0 = 0 = 0x$ , and
- $*$  :  $K \rightarrow K$  such that

$$1 \vee a \vee a^*a^* \leq a^* \quad ax \leq x \Rightarrow a^*x \leq x \quad xa \leq x \Rightarrow xa^* \leq x$$

$\mathcal{K}$  is **\*-continuous** iff  $ab^*c = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} ab^n c$ .

## Example

The **relational Kleene algebra** over a set  $X$  is  $\mathcal{R}(X) = (2^{X \times X}, \cup, \circ, *, \text{id}, \emptyset)$ ;

- $\circ$  denotes composition, and
- $R^* = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} R^i$ , where  $R^0 = \text{id}$  and  $R^{i+1} = R \circ R^i$ .

# Kleene algebra with tests

## Definition

A **Kleene algebra with tests** [Koz97] is  $\mathcal{B} = (K, B, \vee, \cdot, *, 1, 0, ^-)$  where

- $(K, \vee, \cdot, *, 1, 0)$  is a Kleene algebra
- $B \subseteq K$
- $(B, \vee, \cdot, ^-, 1, 0)$  is a Boolean algebra.

**Prop.** Every KA is a KAT, where the test subalgebra is  $B = \{0, 1\}$ .

## Example

The **relational KAT** over a set  $X$  is  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  together with the Boolean test subalgebra  $2^{\text{id}}$ .

**Prop.** [KS97] The equational theory of KAT is identical to the equational theory of rKAT.

# Propositional while programs

Tests  $\beta := \mathbf{b} \mid \bar{\beta} \mid \beta \wedge \beta \mid \beta \vee \beta$

Programs  $\pi := \mathbf{skip} \mid \mathbf{p} \mid \pi; \pi \mid \mathbf{if} \beta \mathbf{then} \pi \mathbf{else} \pi \mid \mathbf{while} \beta \mathbf{do} \pi$

## In KAT:

$$b \wedge c := bc \quad b \vee c := b \vee c$$
$$p; q := pq$$
$$\mathbf{skip} := b \vee \bar{b}$$
$$\mathbf{if} \ b \mathbf{ then } p \mathbf{ else } q := (bp) \vee (\bar{b}q)$$
$$\mathbf{while} \ b \mathbf{ do } p := (bp)^*\bar{b}$$

**Non-termination:**  $p = 0$

**Partial correctness:**  $\{b\}p\{c\} \iff bp = bpc$

# Kozen-Tiuryn Logic

In [KT03] Kozen and Tiuryn introduces a logic (see next 2 slides) which represents partial correctness by a formula, instead of an equation in KAT. They argue that this has certain advantages, e.g., it facilitates a better distinction between local and global properties.

# Kozen-Tiuryn Logic

## Definition

Let  $B = \{b_i \mid i \in \omega\}$  be the set of **test** variables and let  $P = \{p_i \mid i \in \omega\}$  be the set of **program** variables.

We define the following sorts of syntactic objects:

tests	$b, c := b_i \mid 0 \mid b \Rightarrow c$
programs	$p, q := p_i \mid b \mid p \oplus q \mid p \otimes q \mid p^+$
formulas	$f, g := b \mid p \Rightarrow f$
environments	$\Gamma, \Delta := \epsilon \mid \Gamma, p \mid \Gamma, f$
sequents	$\Gamma \vdash f$

We define  $1 := 0 \Rightarrow 0$ ,  $\neg b := b \Rightarrow 0$  and  $p^* := 1 \oplus p^+$ .

The logic  $S$  is defined in [KT03] to be the set of sequents provable in the following proof system:

$$(Id) \quad b \vdash b$$

$$(TC) \quad \frac{\Gamma, b, \Delta \vdash f \quad \Gamma, \bar{b}, \Delta \vdash f}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash f}$$

$$(R\Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, p \vdash f}{\Gamma \vdash p \Rightarrow f}$$

$$(I\otimes) \quad \frac{\Gamma, p, q, \Delta \vdash f}{\Gamma, p \otimes q, \Delta \vdash f}$$

$$(I\oplus) \quad \frac{\Gamma, p, \Delta \vdash f \quad \Gamma, q, \Delta \vdash f}{\Gamma, p \oplus q, \Delta \vdash f}$$

$$(I^+) \quad \frac{g, p \vdash f \quad g, p \vdash g}{g, p^+ \vdash f}$$

$$(E^+) \quad \frac{\Gamma, p^+, \Delta \vdash f}{\Gamma, p, \Delta \vdash f}$$

$$(CC^+) \quad \frac{\Gamma, p^+, \Delta \vdash f}{\Gamma, p^+, p^+, \Delta \vdash f}$$

$$(IO) \quad \Gamma, 0, \Delta \vdash f$$

$$(cut) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash g \quad \Gamma, g, \Delta \vdash f}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash f}$$

$$(I\Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, p, f, \Delta \vdash g}{\Gamma, p \Rightarrow f, p, \Delta \vdash g}$$

$$(E\otimes) \quad \frac{\Gamma, p \otimes q, \Delta \vdash f}{\Gamma, p, q, \Delta \vdash f}$$

$$(E\oplus_1) \quad \frac{\Gamma, p \oplus q, \Delta \vdash f}{\Gamma, p, \Delta \vdash f}$$

$$(E\oplus_2) \quad \frac{\Gamma, p \oplus q, \Delta \vdash f}{\Gamma, q, \Delta \vdash f}$$

$$(Wf) \quad \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash g}{\Gamma, f, \Delta \vdash g}$$

$$(Wp) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash f}{p, \Gamma \vdash f}$$

# Binary relation semantics

## Definition

A **Kozen–Tiuryn model** is a pair  $M = (W, V)$ , where  $W$  is a non-empty set and  $V : B \cup P \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(W)$  such that  $V(b) \subseteq \text{id}_W$  for all  $b \in B$ .

We define the  **$M$ -interpretation** function  $[\ ]_M : Ex_S \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(W)$  as follows:

- $[b]_M = V(b), \quad [p]_M = V(p), \quad [0]_M = \emptyset$
- $[b \Rightarrow c]_M = \{(s, s) \mid (s, s) \notin [b]_M \text{ or } (s, s) \in [c]_M\}$
- $[p \oplus q]_M = [p]_M \cup [q]_M$
- $[p \otimes q]_M = [p]_M \circ [q]_M$
- $[p^+]_M = [p]_M^+$
- $[p \Rightarrow f]_M = \{(s, s) \mid \forall t. (s, t) \in [p]_M \implies (t, t) \in [f]_M\}$
- $[\epsilon]_M = \text{id}_W$
- $[\Gamma, \Delta]_M = [\Gamma]_M \circ [\Delta]_M$

(Here  $^+$  denotes transitive closure and  $\circ$  denotes relational composition.)



## Definition

A sequent  $\Gamma \vdash f$  is **valid in  $M$**  iff,  
for all  $s, t \in W$ , if  $(s, t) \in [\Gamma]_M$ , then  $(t, t) \in [f]_M$ .

## Theorem 1

$\Gamma \vdash f$  is provable in  $S$  iff  $\Gamma \vdash f$  is valid in every Kozen–Tiuryn model.

- Observe that  $[f]_M \subseteq \text{id}_W$  for all formulas  $f$
- If  $(s, s) \in [f]_M$ , then we may say that formula  **$f$  is true in  $s$** .
- Note that  $[bp \Rightarrow c]_M$  is the set of  $(s, s)$  such that, for all  $t$ , if  $(s, s) \in [b]_M$  and  $(s, t) \in [p]_M$ , then  $(t, t) \in [c]_M$ .
- Hence,  $bp \Rightarrow c$  represents a **partial correctness** assertion: the formula is true in  $s$  iff  $b$  is true in  $s$  and  $p$  connects  $s$  with a state  $t$  only if  $c$  is true in  $t$ .

# Questions

- How does  $S$  relate to mainstream substructural logic?
- Is there a one-sorted residuated structure into which we can interpret  $S$ ?

# Residuals

## Definition

An idempotent semiring is **residuated** if it has two binary operation  $\rightarrow, \hookrightarrow$  such that

$$xy \leq z \iff x \leq y \rightarrow z \iff y \leq x \hookrightarrow z. \quad (1)$$

**Note.** One can not translate  $p \Rightarrow b$  to  $p \rightarrow b$ , since  $0 \rightarrow 0$  is maximal.

## Example

$B$ <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle; text-align: center;"> <math>\top</math>  <math>\vdash</math>  <math>1</math>  <math> </math>  <math>0</math> </div>	$\rightarrow$	0	1	$\top$	$\cdot$	0	1	$\top$	$*$	0	1	$\top$
	0	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	0	0	0	0		1	1	$\top$
	1	0	1	$\top$	1	0	1	$\top$				
	$\top$	0	0	$\top$	$\top$	0	$\top$	$\top$				

Let  $R \subseteq S \times S$  and  $B \subseteq \text{id}_S$ .

$$R \rightarrow B = \{(s, u) \mid \forall v. (u, v) \in R \implies (s, v) \in B\}$$

$$R \Rightarrow B = \{(u, u) \mid \forall v. (u, v) \in R \implies (v, v) \in B\}$$

Now consider the operations  $c, e : 2^{S \times S} \rightarrow 2^{S \times S}$

$$c(R) = \{(u, u) \mid \exists s. (s, u) \in R\} \quad (2)$$

$$e(R) = \{(s, u) \mid (u, u) \in R\} \quad (3)$$

Note that  $c$  and  $e$  form a *Galois connection* in the sense that,

$$c(R) \subseteq Q \iff R \subseteq e(Q). \quad (4)$$

## Proposition 1

$$c(R \rightarrow e(B)) = R \Rightarrow B \quad (5)$$

# Kleene algebra with codomain

The following one-sorted alternative to KAT is presented in [DS11].

## Definition

A **Kleene algebra with (anti)codomain** is  $\mathcal{A} = (K, \vee, \cdot, *, 1, 0, a)$  where  $a : K \rightarrow K$  such that

$$xa(x) = 0$$

$$a(xy) = a(a^2(x)y)$$

$$a^2(x) \vee a(x) = 1$$

A **codomain operation** is then defined by  $c(x) := a^2(x)$ .

**Prop.**  $(c(K), \vee, \cdot, 1, 0)$  is a **Boolean algebra** where  $a(x)$  is the complement of  $x \in c(K)$ .

**Thm.** The equational theory of KAT embeds into that of KAC.

# Relational KAC

## Example

Extend a relational Kleene algebra with

$$a(R) = \{(s, s) \mid \neg \exists t. (t, s) \in R\}.$$

Thus creating a **relational KAC**.

The **codomain** operation  $a(a(R)) = c(R)$ , is then as expected

$$c(R) = \{(s, s) \mid \exists t. (t, s) \in R\}.$$

# Residuated program algebras

## Definition

An **SKAT**  $\mathcal{P} = (K, \vee, \cdot, \rightarrow, \hookrightarrow, *, a, e, 1, 0)$  comprises

- a residuated Kleene algebra  $(K, \vee, \cdot, \hookrightarrow, \rightarrow, *, 1, 0)$ ,
- a Kleene algebra with codomain  $(K, \vee, \cdot, *, a, 1, 0)$ , and
- a unary operation  $e$  on  $K$  that satisfies the following:

$$a(a(e(x))) \leq x \tag{6}$$

$$x \leq e(a(a(x))) \tag{7}$$

$$e(x) \leq e(x \vee y) \tag{8}$$

We define  $c(x) := a(a(x))$ . An **SKAT** is  $*$ -continuous (denoted **SKAT\***) iff its underlying Kleene algebra is  $*$ -continuous.

**Prop.:** The class of all SKATs is a variety.

# Embedding result

Let  $Tm$  be the absolutely free SKAT-type algebra over  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ .

## Definition

We define  $Tr : Ex_S \rightarrow Tm$  as follows:

- $Tr(\mathbf{p}_n) = x_{2n}, \quad Tr(\mathbf{b}_n) = c(x_{2n+1}), \quad Tr(0) = c(0), \quad Tr(\epsilon) = 1$
- $Tr(b \Rightarrow c) = c(Tr(b) \rightarrow e(Tr(c)))$
- $Tr(p \oplus q) = Tr(p) \vee Tr(q)$
- $Tr(p \otimes q) = Tr(p) \cdot Tr(q)$
- $Tr(p^+) = Tr(p) \cdot Tr(p)^*$
- $Tr(p \Rightarrow f) = c(Tr(p) \rightarrow e(Tr(f)))$
- $Tr(\Gamma, \Delta) = Tr(\Gamma) \cdot Tr(\Delta)$

## Theorem 2

*A sequent  $\Gamma \vdash f$  is provable in  $S$  iff  $c(Tr(\Gamma)) \leq Tr(f)$  belongs to the equational theory of  $^*$ -continuous SKAT.*



# References I



Jules Desharnais and Georg Struth.

Internal axioms for domain semirings.

*Science of Computer Programming*, 76(3):181–203, March 2011.



D. Kozen.

A Completeness Theorem for Kleene Algebras and the Algebra of Regular Events.

*Information and Computation*, 110(2):366–390, May 1994.



Dexter Kozen.

Kleene algebra with tests.

*ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems*, 19(3):427–443, May 1997.



Dexter Kozen and Frederick Smith.

Kleene algebra with tests: Completeness and decidability.

In Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, Jan Leeuwen, Dirk Dalen, and Marc Bezem, editors, *Computer Science Logic*, volume 1258, pages 244–259. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997.



Dexter Kozen and Jerzy Tiuryn.

Substructural logic and partial correctness.

*ACM Transactions on Computational Logic*, 4(3):355–378, July 2003.